

*Peer*

# THE CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS COUNCIL

REPRESENTING ALL CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS

**MINUTES AND PROCEEDINGS**

**TWENTY SEVENTH ANNUAL MEETING**

**THE MACDONALD HOTEL  
EDMONTON, ALTA.**

**MARCH 6 - 8, 1968**

OFFICES: 219 QUEEN ST.

OTTAWA 4, ONTARIO

TELEPHONE: 233-8491

# *The Canadian Beekeepers' Council*

219 QUEEN STREET, OTTAWA 4, CANADA • AREA CODE 613 - 233-8491

## *Le Conseil des Apiculteurs Canadiens*

TWENTY-SEVENTH  
ANNUAL MEETING

- MINUTES and PROCEEDINGS -

MacDonald Hotel, Edmonton, Alberta

March 6 - 8, 1968

- 0 -

I N D E X

Amendments to By-Laws .....	10
Annual Meeting Dates .....	19
Apiculture Research .....	Appendix 1
Auditors .....	8, 19, 23
Budget .....	20
Canadian Association of Apiculturists .....	10
Canadian Honey Packers Association .....	10, 15
Committees .....	11, 16
Council Consultants .....	6
Council Representatives .....	3
Guests .....	6
Honorary Life Members .....	5
Minutes of Meetings .....	8
Officers 1968 .....	5, 20
Past Presidents .....	5
Committee Reports	
Congress .....	11, 35
Economics .....	17, 44
Equipment Information .....	17
Exporters .....	9, 17, 49
Fairs and Exhibits .....	17, 43
Financial .....	8, 20, 21, 23
Grading .....	15, 16, 17
Magazine .....	11
Marketing .....	12, 15
Nominating .....	9
Presidential .....	8, 25
Publicity .....	10, 11, 32
Research .....	11, 37
Resolutions .....	9
Secretary .....	8, 29
Statistics .....	14, 39
Tariff .....	18, 52
Executive Secretary .....	5, 29
Canadian Horticultural Council Delegate .....	17, 19, 46

CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES

MARITIME BEEKEEPERS' ASSOCIATION

John Young  
Petitcodiac, N.B.L'ASSOCIATION DES APICULTEURS PROFESSIONNELS  
DU QUEBECGerard Deschamps  
4701 St. Francois,  
Duvernay, P.Q.

ONTARIO BEEKEEPERS' ASSOCIATION

Victor Mesley  
Kemptville, Ontario

MANITOBA BEEKEEPERS' ASSOCIATION

John Uhrin  
Pine Creek, Manitoba

SASKATCHEWAN BEEKEEPERS' ASSOCIATION

Don Peer  
Nipawin, Saskatchewan

ALBERTA BEEKEEPERS' ASSOCIATION

Robert Asher  
Brooks, AlbertaBRITISH COLUMBIA HONEY PRODUCERS  
ASSOCIATIONLou Truscott  
P.O. Box 1027,  
Creston, B.C.

BEE CEE HONEY COMPANY LIMITED

Robert Bird  
7925 - 13th Avenue,  
New Westminster, B.C.ALBERTA HONEY PRODUCERS COOPERATIVE  
LIMITEDJack M. Smith  
Box 60,  
Beaverlodge, Alberta

CENTRAL ALBERTA DAIRY POOL

Fred Rathje  
Bassano, AlbertaSASKATCHEWAN HONEY PRODUCERS COOPERATIVE  
LIMITEDCarl Meilicke  
Box 773,  
Prince Albert, Saskatchewan

CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL  
M I N U T E S  
27TH ANNUAL MEETING  
MACDONALD HOTEL, EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Wednesday March 6th, 1968

Presents: E.J. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, K. Benson, R. Mallory, P. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Meilicke, F. Rathje, J. Smith, R. Bird, L. Truscott, P. Yelle, J.E. King

President E.J. Burnett, in opening the meeting, welcomed Council members, Council consultants and visitors. He asked each delegate to stand and be recognized.

The Secretary read the Notice of Meeting.

President Burnett asked the meeting to observe one minute's silence in memory of the late Council Delegates S. Horsburg and H. Bird.

The President appointed J. Corner and H. McPhail as scrutineers.

1. Moved by C. Meilicke, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

That the Minutes of the 26th Annual Meeting and Special General Meeting of December 9th, 1966 be adopted as published

Business arising from the Minutes included the deletion of paragraph (B) of resolution No. 57 and the changing of the date 1950 to 1940 found on page 12.

Finance Committee Chairman R. Asher presented the Audited Financial Statement.

2. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by L. Truscott and Carried

That the audited financial statement for the year ending December 31st 1967 be approved.

President E.J. Burnett presented his report. A copy is attached.

3. Moved by J. Smith, seconded by R. Bird and Carried

That the report of the President be accepted and included in the Minutes.

Secretary King reported on the action taken on resolutions adopted at the 26th Annual Meeting. A copy is attached.

4. Moved by J. King, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

That the Secretary's report be accepted and included in the Minutes.

Secretary King read a telegram from F. Garland expressing his best wishes for a successful meeting.

W.C. MacKenzie	Spirit River, Alberta
J.M. Marcy	Brooks, Alberta
E. Marko	Lac la Biche, Alberta
W. Mascuick	Athabasca, Alberta
M. Mason	Nipawin, Saskatchewan
E.C. Masters	Hythe, Alberta
D. McCulloch	Fairview, Alberta
D. McCutcheon	Tisdale, Saskatchewan
G. and M. McFadyen	Spirit River, Alberta
H. McPhail	Tisdale, Saskatchewan
P. Pankiw	Beaverlodge, Alberta
G. Paradis	Falher, Alberta
L. Paradis	Edmonton, Alberta
P.F. Pawlowski	Edmonton, Alberta
J. Pimiska	Brooks, Alberta
P. Regamey	Wadamun, Alberta
D.R. Robertson	Winnipeg, Manitoba
W. Rogers	Calgary, Alberta
J.A. Sanford	Nampa, Alberta
D. Smith	Scarboro, Ontario
E.R. Smith	Ottawa, Ontario
J. Stewart	Edmonton, Alberta
F. Wadman	Alhambra, Alberta
W.S. Watson	Colinton, Alberta
T. Wilkins	Westlock, Alberta
J. Willm	Lethbridge, Alberta
K. Wilson	New Westminster, B.C.

CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL

GUESTS & CONSULTANTS IN ATTENDANCE  
 ANNUAL MEETING - MARCH 6 - 8, 1968  
MACDONALD HOTEL, EDMONTON, ALBERTA

R. Barton	Sunset House, Alberta
T. Bastura	Taber, Alberta
E. Bastura	Taber, Alberta
S.E. Bland	Prince Albert, Saskatchewan
E. Boswell	Brooks, Alberta
W. Breiter	Edmonton, Alberta
H. Bryans	Alvinston, Ontario
F.E. Butz	Spruce Grove, Alberta
J. Corner	Vernon, British Columbia
R. Cust	Cold Lake, Alberta
J.A. Deslaurier	Edmonton, Alberta
C. Dubau	St. Lina, Alberta
K.H. Easson	Edmonton, Alberta
J. Edmunds	Edmonton, Alberta
T.A. Gochmamer	Ottawa, Ontario
S. Grundau	Edmonton, Alberta
C. Guilt	Westlock, Alberta
W. Hamilton	Nipawin, Saskatchewan
M. Hodgson	Jarvis, Ontario
J. Irwin	Rochester, Alberta
S.C. Jay	Winnipeg, Manitoba
J. Johnson	Atmore, Alberta
W. Kauf	Edmonton, Alberta
H.R. Kindrachuk	Smoky Lake, Alberta
H.W. Kitson	Portage la Prairie, Manitoba

CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL1968 OFFICERS

President	Robert Asher
Vice President	Lou Truscott
Executive Member	Ray Mallory
Immediate Past President	Earl Burnett
Executive Secretary	John E. King

PAST PRESIDENTS

1940 - 41	-	W.R. Agar *
1942	-	S.M. Deschenes *
1943	-	J.W. Braithwaite *
1944	-	P.C. Colquhoun
1945	-	A.T. Brown
1946	-	V.E. Phillips *
1947 - 49	-	F.R. Garland
1950 - 51	-	J.N. Dymont
1952	-	P. Kowalski *
1953 - 54	-	W.H. Turnbull
1955 - 56	-	H.C. Allen
1957 - 58	-	S.J. Lye
1959 - 65	-	V. Mesley
1966 - 67	-	E.J. Burnett

HONORARY LIFE MEMBERS

C.B. Gooderham  
 J.N. Dymont  
 F.R. Armstrong  
 W.H. Turnbull  
 C.F. Pearcey  
 J.P. Hodgson  
 H.C. Allen  
 R.M. Fugh  
 F.R. Garland

PAST EXECUTIVE SECRETARIES

1940	-	W.T. Patterson
1941 - 48	-	R.M. Fugh
1949	-	W.G. Le Maistre *
1950 - 59	-	R.M. Fugh
1960 - 62	-	R.M. McKay
1962	-	J.E. King

\* Deceased.



MANITOBA COOPERATIVE HONEY PRODUCERS  
LIMITED

Earl J. Burnett  
Box 131,  
Roland, Manitoba

ONTARIO HONEY PRODUCERS COOPERATIVE  
LIMITED

Ray Mallory  
Stirling, Ontario

BILLY BEE HONEY PRODUCTS LIMITED

Jack Grossman  
68 Tycos Drive,  
Toronto 19, Ontario

DOYON & DOYON LIMITEE

J.P.H. Doyon  
2720 Duchesne Street,  
St. Laurent,  
Montreal, P.Q.

CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF APICULTURISTS

P.W. Burke  
University of Guelph,  
Guelph, Ontario

SUPPLIERS

Ken Benson  
Benson Bee Supplies Ltd.,  
Metcalf, Ontario.

PRODUCER PACKER

John Chandler  
Cariboo Apiaries,  
Lillooet, B.C.

CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL

John E. King  
219 Queen Street,  
Ottawa 4, Ontario

President Burnett opened the meeting to an informal discussion on the problem of marketing the present honey crop. Subject matter included the present honey production, supply on hand, promotional activities, exports and imports. It was announced that the present Argentina crop was in the vicinity of 43 million lbs. The average production in Argentina is generally around the 60 million mark. This year there would be approximately 15 thousand tons for export. The average export price, in U.S. funds, C.I.F. Montreal, would be approximately 14 cents per lb.

The President declared the meeting adjourned until 2:00 p.m.

WEDNESDAY MARCH 6TH, - 2:00 P.M.

Presents: E.J. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, P. Yelle, K. Benson, R. Mallory, P. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Heilicke, F. Rathje, J. Smith, R. Bird, L.R. Truscott, and J.E. King

President Burnett asked the meeting what were its wishes with regard to a Resolutions Committee.

5. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by J. Smith and Carried  
That the chair appoint a Resolutions Committee.

President Burnett appointed L. Truscott, J. Smith and D. Peer to act as the Resolutions Committee.

President Burnett asked the meeting for its consideration of the appointment of a Nominating Committee.

6. Moved by J. Smith, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried  
That C. Heilicke be appointed a committee of one with the power to add.

The meeting again resumed its discussion on the honey marketing problems.

J. Grossman assumed his seat at the Council table.

President Burnett relinquished the chair to R. Asher, Vice President in order to take part in the general discussion of the U.K. Honey Mission Report.

E.J. Burnett described the U.K. Honey Mission, its purposes and its accomplishments. After a very lengthy discussion, whereby the delegates and invited guests questioned Mr. Burnett on the Mission, he resumed the chair.

The Secretary was directed to obtain in confidence from each honey packer the quantities of honey surplus they expected to have on hand as of July 1st. This honey surplus was to be broken into two colours, white and golden.

The meeting continued its discussion on marketing and some of the items discussed were the immediate relief, short-term program, long-term program, increased consumption and a general review of the Canadian production.

P.W. Burke, representing the Canadian Association of Apiculturalists was invited to address the meeting.

H. McPhail, President of the Canadian Honey Packers Assn. was invited to address the meeting.

The Secretary read the Notice of Amendment to the By-laws.

7. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by J. Uhrin and Carried

That the amendment to the by-laws be approved.

Therefore the following amendment to the by-law was adopted --

Article XVIII - THE EXECUTIVE

(1) Composition

The affairs of the corporation shall be administered by the Executive which shall be made up of the President, Vice - President, Executive Director, and a fourth member, if deemed advisable, who shall be elected at the annual general meeting of the corporation. Only persons qualified to act as a delegate of any ordinary member may be elected.

F. Rathje, chairman of the Western section of the Publicity Committee presented his report. A copy is attached.

8. Moved by F. Rathje, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Publicity Committee, Western section, be accepted.

9. Moved by F. Rathje, seconded by J. Chandler and Tabled

Whereas it should be realized that Council publicity is extremely important, and

Whereas to take charge of an active and extensive publicity program requires experience, ability and considerable time,

Resolved that Council consider hiring or otherwise engage an experienced and qualified person as Director of Publicity.

10. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by J. Grossman and Carried

That the above resolution be tabled until tomorrow at which time other resolutions come before Council.

Secretary King presented the report of the National Promotional Program.

11. Moved by J. King, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that the report of the National Promotional Program be accepted.

R. Asher presented the report of the Magazine Committee. Copy is attached.

President Burnett announced that the Alberta Beekeepers' had declared an Alberta Honey Queen and asked the meeting whether or not they would be interested in declaring this Queen the Canadian Honey Queen.

12. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by D. Peer and Carried

Resolved that the Alberta Honey Queen be declared the Canadian Honey Queen.

D. Peer presented his report as Council's official delegate to the XXIst International Beekeeping Congress, held in Maryland August, 1967. Copy of this report is attached.

13. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by P. Burke and Carried

Resolved that the report of the delegate to the XXIst International Beekeeping Congress be accepted.

The President declared the meeting adjourned until 9:00 a.m.

THURSDAY MARCH 7TH - 9:00 A.M.

Present: E.J. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, P. Yelle  
K. Benson, R. Mallory, P. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Meilicke, F. Rathje  
J. Smith, R. Bird, L. Truscott and J.E. King

V. Mesley, chairman of the Research Committee presented his report. Copy attached.

P. Pankiw presented a research report covering apicultural research work conducted at Beaverlodge Experimental Station.

P.W. Burke presented a research report covering apicultural research work conducted at the University of Guelph.

T.A. Gochnauer presented a research report covering apicultural research work conducted at the Ottawa Experimental Station.

14. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the research reports presented by V. Mesley, P. Pankiw, P.W. Burke and T.A. Gochnauer be accepted.

Mr. J. Grossman assumed his seat at Council at 10:15 a.m.

President Burnett suggested that when setting up committees this year that one man be appointed to act as chairman or elected thereon with power to add. This method would provide the chairman with an opportunity of picking a working committee that would be more practical than the usual method of appointments.

15. Moved by C. Meilicke, seconded by L. Truscott and Carried

Resolved that committees be set up on a one man basis to be appointed or elected with power to add.

16. Moved by J. Smith, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that the Executive Committee appoint the committee chairman during the session.

17. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that Council survey all possible aspects of advertising of honey in order to solve the current surplus in Canada.

18. Moved by F. Rathje, seconded D. Peer and Carried

Whereas there is considerable time used up by proposals and motions of extending appreciation and thanks to many persons and firms

Resolved that Council consider having a list made up of those to whom appreciation and thanks should be extended and to move and second this under one single proposal - and thereby save valuable meeting time.

The meeting resumed its' discussion on marketing problems. Consideration and discussion was made on the terms of programs available to the industry. These are as follows:

- ✓ (a) Agricultural Boards Act whereby the Government could purchase and hold for later sale any quantity of honey.
- (b) Marketing plans where money could be loaned on a cooperative marketing plan established under the Stabilization Board.
- ✓ (c) Stabilization Board subsidy whereby beekeepers could obtain a subsidy on the forth-coming production.

Each of the above subjects was completely discussed and reviewed by the delegates and invited guests.

Adjournment until 2:00 p.m. was moved by R. Mallory

THURSDAY MARCH 7TH - 2:00 P.M.

Present: E.J. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, P. Yelle  
K. Benson, R. Mallory P. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Meilicke  
F. Rathje, J. Smith, R. Bird, L. Truscott, J. Grossman and J. E. King

D. Robertson presented a report on the program offered the industry by the Federal Government in 1949.

19. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by C. Meilicke and Carried

Resolved that Council adjourn into committee sessions consisting of three groups broken up to study the following -

- (a) Study and bring back a short-term program
- (b) Study and bring back a long-term program
- (c) General discussion of industry problems

The following committees were appointed to sit-in on the three groups -

Group 1 - E.J. Burnett, D. McCutcheon, P.W. Burke, E. Smith  
R. Asher, L. Truscott, D. Robertson and J.E. King

Group 2 - all members of the Canadian Honey Packers Assn. and  
J. Chandler, J. Edmunds and R. Mallory

Group 3 - would be the remaining delegates and visitors who  
would remain in session with V. Wesley taking the  
chair.

All groups would report to the general session at 4:00 p.m.

The President declared the general meeting adjourned until 4:00 p.m.

The chair was resumed at 4:00 p.m.

Mr. McCutcheon, secretary of Group 1 reported as follows:

A short-term program should consist of the following.

(a) Council should approach the Federal Government  
to purchase the surplus honey under the Agric-  
ultural Products Board Act

(b) the conditions of purchase would be as follows.

1. participating firms not eligible to  
buy back honey until Nov. 1, 1968
2. non-participating firms could buy  
immediately at a fair market price
3. participating firms would have first  
option of purchasing stocks on hand  
based on what quantities were sold  
to the Federal Government

(c) that D. Peer be appointed to present these points  
to the Federal Government and that he have the  
power to call on anyone for assistance

(d) that this action be taken as soon as possible

20. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by L. Truscott and Carried

Resolved that the report of the first group be accepted

Mr. Chandler, chairman of the second group reported that his committee  
had suggested as a long-term program that a honey promotional advisory  
committee, consisting of the Canadian Honey Packers Assn., provincial apiarists  
with power to add to their provincial body, home economists and that J. Edmunds be  
appointed chairman of this committee.

21. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

That the report of the second group be accepted.

Mr. E. Bland reported on the third group's activities, which was a general discussion on beekeeping problems over the past few years.

22. Moved by C. Meilicke, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that the report of the third group be accepted.

23. Moved J. Uhrin, seconded by R. Bird and Tabled

Resolved that the Canadian Honey Packers Assn. request the Canadian Beekeepers' Council to establish a promotional committee whose responsibilities will be -

1. formulating a national honey promotional program
2. present this program to the next Canadian Beekeepers' Council Annual Meeting for possible approval
3. formulate and propose a means of financially supporting this program

24. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried

Resolved that this resolution be tabled.

Secretary J. King presented the Statistics report. A copy of which is attached.

25. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Statistics Committee be accepted.

Adjournment until 9:00 a.m. was moved by D. Peer.

FRIDAY MARCH 8TH - 9:00 A.M.

Present: E. J. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, R. Yelle  
K. Benson, R. Mallory, P. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Meilicke,  
F. Rathje, J. Smith, R. Bird, L. Truscott and J.E. King

The marketing session continued while reviewing the recommendations as presented by the three groups, yesterday afternoon.

26. Moved by R. Mallory, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried

Resolved that D. Peer be the official delegate appointed by Council to pursue the marketing problem.

27. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that

1. The official delegate use the afore mentioned recommendations as terms of reference in dealing with this vital problem.
2. That these terms of reference be guide-lines only.
3. That he have power to add to his committee.
4. That full expenses of delegate presenting this problem be absorbed by the Council.
5. That the official delegate have full cooperation of all Council delegates and have full authority to call on any one or all delegates to obtain confidential or any information required.

28. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by J. Smith and Carried

Resolved that the \$5,000 bond now held by Council be made available if needed to establish a honey promotional program.

29. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the Secretary approach all phases of the industry to obtain funds to set up a National Honey Promotional Program.

30. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Whereas there is need for financial assistance to beekeepers

Resolved that the Canadian Beekeepers' Council request a deficiency payment plan from the Stabilization Board for the 1968 crop.

31. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by J. Smith and Carried

Whereas the matter of stabilization falls within the terms of reference of Marketing Committee

Resolved that action outlined in Resolution #30 be initiated when deemed advisable by the Marketing Committee.

Mr. H. McPhail, President of the Canadian Honey Packers Assn. informed the meeting that the Association had agreed to donate all funds presently in their bank account to the committee for a National Promotional Program.

Mr. J. Chandler, Chairman of the Grading Committee presented his report. Copy attached.

32. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Grading Committee be accepted.

President Burnett called for a closed session to last until after lunch.

During the closed session, the committee studied a possible procedure to be followed by the special Marketing Committee appointed to visit Ottawa on behalf of the industry.

The meeting was adjourned for lunch at 12:00 noon.

FRIDAY MARCH 8TH -- 1:30 P.M.

The meeting resumed under closed session.

Present: E.S. Burnett, R. Asher, J. Chandler, V. Mesley, J. Young, R. Yelle  
K. Benson, R. Mallory, F. Burke, J. Uhrin, D. Peer, C. Meilicke,  
F. Rathje, J. Smith, R. Bird, L. Truscott, and J.E. King

D. Peer informed the meeting that he had appointed Mr. A. Graham to his Marketing Committee and that Mr. Graham was to accompany him to Ottawa. In view of this fact, he asked the meeting for permission to have Mr. Graham invited to the closed session.



33. Moved by J. Smith, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that Mr. A. Graham be invited to join the closed session.

34. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by R. Mallory and unanimously Carried

Resolved that a vote of confidence be given to Mr. D. Peer, Chairman of the Marketing Committee.

President Burnett declared the general meeting open to visitors at 2:45 p.m.

President Burnett made the following appointments of committee chairmen -

Research	R. Mallory
Publicity - A. Western	F. Rathje
B. Eastern	P. Burke
C. National	J.E. King
Magazine	the in-coming Vice President
Fairs & Exhibits	J. Corner
Grading	J. Chandler
Statistics	J.E. King
Finance	the Executive Committee
Exporters	new President
Equipment and Information	J. Uhrin
Economics	D. McCutcheon
Marketing Information and Co-ordination	D. Peer
Tariff	J. Smith

35. Moved by P. Burke, seconded by J. Uhrin and Carried

Resolved that the above appointments of committee chairmen be adopted.

36. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

In order to protect the Canadian beekeeper and also to protect the Canadian consumer from being misled in buying honey marked Canada No. 1 etc.

Resolved that the Canadian Beekeepers' Council request the Canada Department of Agriculture to amend the Canada Agricultural Products Standard Act to read, "that all honey packed in Canada containing an imported honey be marked country of origin or - a blend of Canadian and imported honey".

37. Moved by R. Bird, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the Canadian Honey Packers Assn. request the Canadian Beekeepers' Council to have the Canada Department of Agriculture remove the word "liquid" from the label requirements of the Canada Agricultural Products Standard Act.

38. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried

Whereas the title "Producer Pak" presently considered as the title for the grade does not indicate to the consumer the omission of pasteurization and

Whereas a product known to be in a natural state without pasteurization is requested and in fact demanded by a percentage of consumers of honey

Resolved that a more suitable title be found.

39. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by J. Uhrin and Carried

Whereas the new honey grading regulations have now become law and

Whereas under these existing regulations honey containing crystals not completely granulated could not be graded No. 1.

Resolved that the Council take steps to immediately amend these regulations to include the new class known as "Producer Pak"

40. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Asher and Defeated

Whereas the 11 pound jar and the 14 pound can had been found by many delegates to be excellent sizes from the point of view of sales

Resolved the Council approach the Canada Department of Agriculture for registration of these sizes of containers.

L. Truscott, Chairman of the Fairs & Exhibits Committee presented his report. Copy attached.

41. Moved by L. Truscot, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Fairs & Exhibits Committee be accepted.

Mr. D. McCutcheon, Chairman of the Economics Committee presented his report. Copy attached.

42. Moved by C. Meilicke, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Economics Committee be accepted.

Mr. J. Uhrin, Chairman of the Equipment - Information Committee reported that his committee had been rather inactive during the year in that the committee was only established in the late fall. The general idea of this committee is to establish some record of equipment being used in the industry and possibly the announcing of this through Council bulletins. Mr. Uhrin said the committee would begin its activities shortly following Council meeting and said he would have a report ready at a later date.

Mr. V. Mesley presented his report as the official delegate attending the Canadian Horticultural Council's Annual Meeting. Copy is attached.

43. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that the report of the delegate to the Canadian Horticultural Council be included in minutes of Minutes and Proceedings of the Annual Meeting.

President Burnett relinquished the chair to Vice President R. Asher in order that he could present his report as Chairman of the Honey Exporters Committee. Copy is attached.

44. Moved by E.J. Burnett, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Honey Exporters Committee be accepted.

Mr. Burnett resumed the chair.

Mr. J. Smith, Chairman of the Tariff Committee presented his report. Copy is attached.

45. Moved by Mr. J. Smith, seconded by J. Ulrin and Carried

Resolved that the report of the Tariff Committee be accepted.

46. Moved by L. Truscott, and unanimously Carried

Whereas the efforts of Mr. John E. King as in the past have proven to be of great value to the Canadian Beekeepers' Council, the beekeeping industry and are greatly appreciated by all concerned

Resolved that the Canadian Beekeepers' Council strongly recommend to the Directors of the Canadian Horticultural Council that Mr. John E. King be permitted to continue serving the Canadian Beekeepers' Council in the capacity of Executive Secretary.

47. Moved by R. Asher, seconded R. Mallory and Carried

Whereas the need for a National bee magazine as a means of communication for the industry is in great need

Resolved that in-coming Executive Committee be instructed to assist Mr. Jack Arnot in proceeding with his plan as described in the Magazine Committee Report.

48. Moved by E. Asher, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried as amended

Whereas it is sometimes difficult to obtain Queens from the United States when required, and

Whereas there is an available supply of Queens in Australia and New Zealand ✓

Resolved that Council request the Federal Government to amend the existing regulations to permit the importation of Queens from Australia and New Zealand.

49. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Asher and Carried

Resolved that Resolution #48 be amended by adding "provided however importations be carried out in an experimental manner."

The Secretary was directed to return the poster "All the World Likes Honey" to the British Columbia Association for promotional experimentation.

50. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Whereas the Farm Credit Cooperation does not consider beekeeping as a special form of farming, and

Whereas there appears to be confusion regarding the Farm Credit Cooperation's policy toward beekeeping, and

Whereas beekeepers' assets are invested in supers, frames, tops and bottoms

Resolved that Council request that bee equipment (supers, frames, tops and bottoms) be classified as a similar equitable position as that of land owned by a farmer.

51. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by C. Heilicke and Carried

Whereas all timely information of stocks on hand is of utmost importance to the industry

Resolved that Council request Canada Department of Agriculture, Fruit and Vegetable Division to release a report of the Honey Stocks on Hand as of June 1st and August 1st, and

Further Resolved that the report provide an eastern and western Canadian figure using the Ontario-Manitoba border as the dividing point.

52. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by C. Meilicke and Carried

Resolved that the Canadian Beekeepers' Council urge the Unemployment Insurance Commission to recognize beekeeping per se as an agricultural occupation and farming operation, and

Further Resolved that the Unemployment Insurance Commission remove from its thinking the unwarranted distinction it attempts to make between "commercial beekeeping" and "beekeeping as an incidental part of farming operations".

53. Moved by R. Bird, seconded by K. Benson and Carried

Resolved that the Council request the Canada Department of Agriculture through its Research Branch to develop a simple method of analysis for establishing the levulose/dextrose content of honey.

54. Moved by J. Uhrin, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that Council accept the invitation extended by the Manitoba Beekeepers' Assn. to hold the 1970 Annual Meeting in Winnipeg.

55. Moved by J. Uhrin, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that the 1969 Annual Meeting of Council be held in Ottawa during the first full week of March, Wednesday through Friday.

56. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that the firm of George A. Welch be appointed auditors for the coming year.

57. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that V. Mesley be appointed to act as the Council delegate at the Canadian Horticultural Council Annual Meeting.

Mr. R. Asher, Chairman of the Finance Committee presented the following budget as a basis for discussion -

BUDGET 1968

Income:		\$13,000.00
Expenditures		
	Administration Charges	<u>\$4,000.00</u>
Travel:	Annual Meeting	1,800.00
	Committee Meetings	<u>2,200.00</u>
		\$4,000.00
Contributions:		
	Audubon Society	10.00
	B.R.A.	50.00
	Apimondia	60.00
	Research	500.00
	C.H.C. Membership	<u>400.00</u>
		\$1,020.00
General:		
	Publicity	1,200.00
	Publicity Co-ordination	500.00
	Stationery	200.00
	Awards	200.00
	Postage	200.00
	Telephone, Telegraphs	175.00
	Miscellaneous	150.00
	Accounts Payable	<u>335.00</u>
		\$2,960.00
Total Expenditures		\$11,980.00
Excess Income over Expenditure		1,020.00

58. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried

Resolved that the proposed budget for 1968 be accepted.

59. Moved by D. Peer, seconded by F. Rathje and Carried

Resolved that Mr. D. Robinson be appointed to act as Election Officer.

Mr. Robinson called on Nominating Committee chairman, C. Mellicke to present his report.

For the position of President Mr. R. Asher was nominated by E.J. Burnett and V. Mesley.

60. Moved by R. Mallory, seconded by J. Smith and Carried

Resolved that nominations for President be closed.

For the position of Vice President Mr. L. Truscott was nominated by R. Bird and J. Whinn.

61. Moved by R. Bird, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that nominations for Vice President be closed.

For the position of Executive Director Mr. R. Mallory was nominated by V. Mesley and L. Truscott.

V. Mesley was also nominated by J. Chandler and requested that his name be withdrawn. Mover agreed.

62. Moved by R. Asher, seconded by J. Chandler and Carried

Resolved that nominations for Executive Director be closed.

63. Moved by J. Chandler, seconded by R. Bird and Defeated

Resolved that the fourth position on the executive committee be filled.

64. Moved by J. Smith, seconded by R. Bird and Carried

Resolved that the position at large be left vacant for the time being.

The in-coming President, R. Asher, assumed the Chair.

65. Moved by E.J. Burnett, seconded by J. Smith and unanimously Carried

Resolved that expenses incurred by the Maritime Beekeepers' Assn. delegate, the elected Producer-Packer delegate and the elected delegate at large attending Council's Annual Meetings and Special General Meetings be absorbed by Council.

Further Resolved that the expenses incurred by delegates representing the following -

B.C. Honey Producers Assn.  
 Alberta Beekeepers' Assn.  
 Saskatchewan Beekeepers' Assn.  
 Manitoba Beekeepers' Assn.  
 Ontario Beekeepers' Assn.  
 L'Association des Apicultures  
 Professionnels du Quebec

be deducted from the portion of levy to Council as per recommendations of Council's Executive Committee, and

Further Resolved that the expenses incurred by all other delegates be the responsibility of the firms and/or organizations they represent.

66. Moved by R. Mallory, seconded by V. Mesley and Carried

Resolved that H. Bryans and M. Harvey be Council's official representatives to the Royal Agricultural Winter Fair.

67. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by R. Mallory and Carried

Resolved that Council extend a vote of thanks to retiring President E.J. Burnett.

hdst

68. Moved by L. Truscott, seconded by F. Rathje and Carried

Resolved that the Secretary of the Canadian Beekeepers' Council convey the appreciation of the Council members, the guests and the ladies to -

*check right  
some go  
not demand a high quality*

- The Canadian Honey Queen *and Princess*
- Dr. P. Pankiw, Research Station, Beaverlodge, Alberta
- Dr. R.P.A. Sims, Dir. Food Research Institute, C.D.A.
- Dr. Siddiqui, Food Research Institute, C.D.A., Ottawa
- Dr. G.P. Holland, Dir. Entomological Research
- Dr. T.A. Gochmayer, Food Research Institute, C.D.A.
- the Provincial Government of Alberta
- Dr. E.E. Ballantyne, Dupty Minister of Agriculture
- The Hotel management and staff
- Edmonton Beekeepers' Assn.
- Alberta Beekeepers' Assn.
- J. Edmunds

for the arrangements made for this years' Council Meeting and Mrs. Jack Edmunds and her committee in arranging a program for the ladies.

*her contribution to the Canadian Beekeepers' Industry.*

69. Moved by J. Uhrin, seconded by R. Bird and Carried

Resolved that Council extend a vote of thanks to retiring Executive Director, J. Chandler

70. Moved by E.J. Burnett, seconded by L. Truscott and Carried

Resolved that Council extend a vote of thanks to retiring Executive Committee member V. Mesley.

71. Moved by V. Mesley, seconded by C. Meilicke and Carried

Whereas honey has been imported into Canada at a lower than Canadian price, and

Whereas this imported honey has created a condition that has distressed our Canadian prices creating hardships to our beekeepers'

Resolved that the Federal Government be approached to implement suitable action so that imported honey does not depress prices paid to the Canadian honey producers.

The meeting was adjourned on the motion of R. Bird, seconded by J. Smith and Carried at 5:40 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

THE CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL

STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

for fourteen months ended  
December 31, 1967

Comparative  
for year ended  
October 31, 1966

Income:		
Income from levy:		
Continental Can Company Limited	13.00	\$ 100
Maritime beekeepers'	92.38	115
Quebec beekeepers'	443.57	497
Ontario beekeepers'	-	2,579
Manitoba beekeepers'	5,611.00	3,540
Saskatchewan beekeepers'	2,417.73	3,327
Alberta beekeepers'	3,016.43	3,688
British Columbia beekeepers'	<u>407.45</u>	<u>181</u>
	\$ 12,001.56	14,027
Peace River District Beekeepers Association	10.00	
Red River Apiarist Association	10.00	10
Donation for University of Guelph research	25.00	
Donations for University of Manitoba Research Fund	425.00	500
Bond interest	<u>214.30</u>	<u>425</u>
	12,685.86	14,962
Expenditure:		
Administrative costs	5,000.00	<u>4,000</u>
Travelling expense:		
Executive Secretary	302.75	616
Annual meeting	3,522.83	3,856
Executive meetings	1,808.01	746
Reorganization meeting	75.00	440
International Apicultural Congress	<u>484.78</u>	<u>354</u>
	6,283.37	6,012
Contributions and fees:		
Apimondia	61.25	61
Audubon Society of Canada	10.00	10
British Research Association	50.30	54
University of Manitoba Research Fund	775.00	800
Canadian Horticultural Council	400.00	396
International Apicultural Congress	10.00	500
University of Guelph	<u>275.00</u>	<u>250</u>
	1,581.55	2,071
Awards	451.73	236
Postage	183.42	183
Publicity	1,600.79	1,590
Stationery and printing	183.12	520
Telephone and telegraph	175.85	47
Miscellaneous	<u>229.96</u>	<u>72</u>
	15,689.79	14,731
Excess or Expenditure over Income for period	<u>3,003.93</u>	<u>(231)</u>



THE CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL  
STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

as at December 31, 1967

		<u>Comparative</u> <u>Oct. 31/66</u>
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Cash in bank	\$ 6,945.17	\$ <u>9,709</u>
Government of Canada bonds - 4½% - due 1972 par and cost (Quoted value \$4,500)	5,000.00	10,000
Accrued interest	<u>70.80</u>	<u>282</u>
	<u>5,070.80</u>	<u>10,282</u>
	<u>12,015.97</u>	<u>19,991</u>
<u>LIABILITIES AND SURPLUS</u>		
Accounts payable	335.00	340
Loan payable - The Canadian Fruit Wholesalers' Association	<u>-</u>	<u>4,588</u>
	335.00	4,928
<u>Special Fund</u> Honey Export Promotional Fund	<u>121.57</u>	<u>-</u>
	456.57	<u>4,928</u>
<u>Surplus</u> Balance, October 31, 1966	15,063.33	13,962
add: Adjustments to prior year - net		<u>870</u>
		<u>14,832</u>
deduct: Loss on disposal of Government of Canada bond	500.00	
Excess of Expenditure over Income for period	<u>3,003.93</u>	add: <u>231</u>
	<u>3,503.93</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>11,559.40</u>	<u>15,063</u>
	<u>12,015.97</u>	<u>19,991</u>

We have examined the books and records of your Council for the fourteen months ended December 31, 1967. As in similar organizations, it was not possible to verify the revenue from all sources.

The bonds are in bearer form and were in the custody of officials of the Canadian Horticultural Council.

Subject to the foregoing we report that, in our opinion, the above Statement of Assets and Liabilities and attached Statement of Income and Expenditure are properly drawn up as to show a true and correct view of the state of the Council's affairs at December 31, 1967, and the result of its operations for the period ended on that date, according to the best of our information and the explanations given to us and as shown by the books

GEO. A. WELCH & COMPANY

Ottawa, February 29, 1968.

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT TO COUNCIL 1968

It is my pleasure to report to the guests present and to members of Council some of the activities of the Executive during the past year and a quarter.

I would first like to express my appreciation to the members of the Executive for the time and work that they have done on behalf of the Beekeepers of Canada and I do feel that progress has been made in beekeeping and the honey industry by the work done by these men during their term in office. Also I would like to congratulate our Executive Secretary, Mr. John King, on his appointment to the position of Executive Secretary of the Canadian Horticultural Council. This new responsibility is going to require a great deal more of Mr. King's time, but it is my hope that he will make every effort to continue on in the position as Executive Secretary of our organization. I would like to express to Mr. King and his staff our grateful thanks for the many extra hours of time that have been spent on our behalf. On many occasions it was to help individuals, either a beekeeper or some person with a special problem.

Since the last Annual Meeting your Executive has held two special Executive Meetings: one in March of last year and one in November, besides the regular Executive Meetings held immediately following the Annual and one just prior to this meeting. We also held one Conference Call during the month of August.

As a result of Executive Meetings that have been held in Ottawa, the Council has been able to establish a good liaison with the Federal Government in those departments that directly or indirectly affect our industry.

We have had excellent co-operation from Mr. Eric Eardley and Mr. Eric Smith of the Fruit and Vegetable Division, Canada Department of Agriculture, in the preparation of the honey-grading regulations, which are now completely revised and become effective in 1968. There is no doubt that more work remains to be done, but it is only by continually working to improve our standards that we can remain as one of the world leaders in quality honey production.

Your Executive has at every opportunity met with the Stabilization Board under the Chairmanship of Mr. S.B. Williams, on most occasions only to discuss problems facing the beekeeper and the honey industry, but when we met with the Stabilization Board in November we did request assistance from them as your Executive felt that with the rather large carryover of 1966 honey and a good crop in 1967, some action was necessary as soon as possible in order to help move some of this surplus honey into world markets. Following our discussions with the Stabilization Board a Brief was prepared and has been presented to the Board, but no definite commitment has been made by the Government up until this time.

Another department of the Canada Department of Agriculture to which your Executive makes regular visits is the Plant Research Institute under Dr. J.A. Anderson, the Director. It is through this Department that most of our research grants in Apiculture have been received. Dr. Anderson has shown a great deal of interest in the beekeeping industry and has been most co-operative in making grants available through the research station and the universities for research in apiculture. Through the efforts of your Executive we have received

excellent co-operation from most of the member organizations in the support of two research funds, one for western Canada and one in eastern Canada. The beekeepers of Canada have received many benefits from this research that is being carried on. I would like to express a vote of thanks to Dr. Anderson and his staff, the research men, and all members of the Canadian Association of Apiculturists for their interest and the work they have been and are doing to improve the beekeeping industry in Canada.

When your Executive meets in Ottawa we always visit the research station and see some of the work being carried on by Dr. Gohnauer and his staff. We have several research centres across Canada and it is regrettable that many persons interested in apiculture do not take the time to visit more of these centres and take a greater interest in the work that is being done on our behalf.

During the past two years an Export Committee has been set up which works with the Department of Trade and Commerce in Ottawa. Here again we have had excellent co-operation from Mr. Taughton and his staff, the results of which will be given to you later during the Council meetings.

The Executive found during the past year that some of our various committees have been very active, and from reports we have received the Congress Committee did an outstanding job of telling the rest of the beekeeping world that Canada has a honey second to none in the world and that the ambassadors who represented us made a truly great contribution in creating goodwill among beekeepers from many countries. We would also like to express our grateful thanks to our official representative, Dr. Don Peer for the great amount of time and personal sacrifice that he made to make the Congress the outstanding success that it was. Also, our thanks to the many others who gave of their time and in some cases gave up their holidays to attend Congress.

I would like to express on behalf of the Executive our thanks both to members of Council and to everyone interested in the beekeeping industry for their co-operation and support during the past year.

To those who are attending Council for the first time, and to those who have been here on previous occasions, I would like to review a few important points for your consideration if we are to maintain a strong organization.

First, this is not a convention. This is a Council Meeting where we have many organizations represented. Therefore, it is our hope that we may discuss problems of mutual interest and in so doing develop and maintain good fellowship and co-operation while promoting the interest of the Canadian Beekeepers. It is my hope that when you express a view it is the views of members of the organization that you represent, which in some cases may not necessarily be your personal view. Also, it is the hope of the Executive that you, as a member of Council, will be prepared to return to the organization you represent and give them a full report of the work being done for them by the Canadian Beekeepers' Council.

It is the hope of your Executive that every member of Council will be prepared to give as much time as possible in the promotion of the beekeeping industry. We are only a small organization in the Canadian economy, but we are an important one. One of the problems your Executive Secretary has during the

year is to obtain replies to letters he sends out. We are asking your co-operation in replying to all letters as soon as possible. They may not seem important, but it is only with your co-operation that progress can be made. It would also be appreciated if, when you make a report to your organization, you would also send a copy to the Secretary. In that way he knows what information the beekeepers are receiving.

Since the last Annual Meeting the beekeeping industry, like many agricultural products, has experienced some very difficult problems. At the present time we have quite a substantial surplus of honey on the Canadian market. I trust that in our deliberations we may be able to solve some of these problems. I have heard many arguments and accusations during the past year, none of which will solve our problems unless we are prepared to work together.

Some of the problems that have brought about the present state are the importing of honey from other countries, plus the large expansion of beekeeping and good crops, particularly in western Canada. Up until 1962, Canada's honey production ranged from 28 to 30 million pounds. Since that time our production has increased by at least an additional 10 million pounds per year. Consumption of honey in Canada continues to increase, but has not kept up with the continued increased production.

During the period of 1961 to 1966 Canadian honey has found a new market in Britain and this took care of our surplus production up until 1966. Sales in the British market have now levelled off. With our continued large crops we now find that we need either new markets or an increased consumption in the markets we already have. We are living in a free country where every person has the right either to import cheap honey or to produce large amounts of honey for which a market must be found. It is our hope that through our deliberations here some solution may be found to help solve this problem and thus promote the interest of the Canadian Beekeepers.

There are a number of other topics which your Executive would like to recommend for your consideration at this meeting:

1. We would recommend that a nominating committee be set up at the beginning of our Annual Meeting so that they may have an opportunity to select the best possible members to be your Executive for the coming year. It would, of course, be your privilege to make further nominations.

2. The Executive has prepared a change of By-Law pertaining to a fourth member on the Executive. This has been mailed to all members of Council. This is a result of discussion at the last Annual meeting and we recommend this for your approval.

3. Your Executive has been informed by many of the packing organizations that the expenses of their delegates to Council would be paid by the organization they represent. It is, therefore, the recommendation of the Executive that all organizations pay the expenses of their delegate to Council and that associations deduct such payment from the levy submitted to Council. It is suggested that the expenses of the Producer-Packer and the Maritime member be paid by Council.

4. It is suggested that the next Annual Meeting be held in Ottawa and that the invitation from Winnipeg for 1970 be accepted.

5. We would recommend that in the future instead of appointing a complete committee, only chairmen of the various committees be appointed and that these chairmen select their own committee members. We would further recommend that these chairmen be appointed the second morning of Council in order that they may select members for their committees and notify the secretary before the end of the Council meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

E.J. Burnett,  
President.

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY

Mr. President, Delegates, Gentlemen:

It is my pleasure to present the report of the Secretary which outlines the activities of the Council during the last 14 months. In that the fiscal year was extended to include the entire calendar year of 1967 this report actually covers the period November 1st 1966 through December 31st 1967.

During the year the Executive Committee held two meetings in Ottawa and a conference telephone meeting.

Following the organisation of Council during its 26th Annual Meeting, the membership was extended from 12 member organisations to 18. This particular meeting will be the first under the new regime.

During the meeting you will be presented with an amendment to the by-laws dealing with the fourth member of the Executive Committee. This provision is made available in order that a continuity will be obtained in your Executive if an entire new slate is elected at your Annual Meeting.

Action on Resolutions

At this point I would refer you to last year's minutes and proceedings on page 9.

8. Statistics

Council advised the USDA marketing branch to remove all reference to Newfoundland in their honey statistics reports. This request was submitted to the attention of the Americans on several occasions and at the present time it would appear that they will not change their reporting but this will be continually brought to their attention in order to have a true Canadian picture.

15. Fairs and Exhibits

Council once again took part in the C.N.E., P.N.E. and the Royal Winter Fair. Full details will be provided on this matter by the Fairs and Exhibits Committee. It has been suggested that possibly Council trophies donated to these exhibits and the cash award prizes be eliminated and be replaced by a special certificate of merit. Consideration during these deliberations should be given to these points.

16. Honey Scoring

A special committee was set up to review the present honey scoring cards. It would appear that there are several ideas varying on the areas from which the suggestions were received and there still exists a little confusion in this particular subject. In view of this fact it might be well worth considering establishing a committee to review the entire judging system at the three fairs in an effort to standardize our procedures.

#### 25. XXI International Congress

The Secretary was requested to obtain as complete information as possible concerning Tariffs, Transportation costs, Statistical data and any other information that would be of assistance to our delegates attending the Congress in an effort to provide this material to parties interested in purchasing Canadian honey. This information was semi-prepared but was not submitted to the delegates as the Department of Trade and Commerce had representatives at the Congress whose responsibility was to transmit this form of information to people interested in our product.

#### 40. Appreciation

The Secretary conveyed appreciation to Mr. Maurice Harvey on Council's behalf for the very active part he had taken in promoting the Canadian industry and particularly in bringing the Ontario problems to Council.

Appreciation was also extended to the various sponsors of social activities during the 26th Annual Meeting.

Council's appreciation was also extended to the Canadian Association of Agriculturists, the Food Research Institute, Canada Department of Agriculture (Ottawa - Beaverlodge), the University of Guelph and the University of Manitoba.

#### 49. ARDA

This resolution requested that Council obtain assistance from ARDA for special commercial bee-keeping short courses along with obtaining any assistance we could from ARDA in advancing our industry. In that ARDA is a provincial matter the Federal Department will only act once it has been approached by the Provincial Governments. Because of this it was difficult to pursue this resolution.

#### 51. Tariff Sugar

This resolution requested that the duty on sugar be removed when used for feeding bees. In view of the fact that sugar prices and duty are all arranged under GATT the Minister advised that no action could be taken at this time.

#### 56. Magazine

During the past several years resolutions have been presented to Council requesting that a Magazine Committee be established to encourage the publication of a high quality bee-keeping magazine. Again this resolution was presented and again much difficulty was encountered in trying to implement the intent of this resolution. There have been several interested parties willing to produce a bee magazine who have come forward this past year. I believe your Magazine Committee will be making a full report but I do not believe that anything concrete has yet been established.

#### 57. Beeswax Imports

This resolution requested that the import restrictions prohibiting the importation of beeswax be reviewed and if possible amended. Council was in

touch with all rendering plants to obtain their consent before requesting any changes in the regulations. The consent was obtained but the Department of Health of Animals was unwilling to change any of their regulations until they had consulted with their Research Department to be perfectly sure that no diseases would be imported if these regulations were changed. Council will continue to work on this problem and once the Department of Health of Animals are satisfied Council will be requested to approve any changes contemplated.

#### 60. National Cookbook

The Executive Committee of Council was requested to study the possibility and feasibility of publishing a national cookbook incorporating the many recipes used in our national program. The resolution was considered and for the time being tabled.

#### 67. 14 lb. Tin

Council was requested to have a 14 lb. tin and a 11 lb. jar included in the present grading regulations. This matter was referred to the provincial association and no action was taken.

#### 68. - 70. New Class of Honey

These resolutions requested that a special committee be established to study the yeast count of honey. The committee will report on its activities during the Convention.

#### General

I have referred very briefly to some of the Council's activities during the past 14 months and they will be covered in detail in the various committee reports. Your Council continues to act as the industry's spokesman at the national level and enjoys the fullest cooperation of the officials of the numerous departments of government and other authorities with whom we have frequent contact. The maintenance of this confidence is most valuable and is jealously guarded and extremely appreciated. Another busy year in Council history is now completed and its success is due to the fine cooperation among the officers, committee members and staff. To them I owe a debt of deep gratitude and I express my appreciation.

Respectfully submitted,

J.E. King  
Executive Secretary



REPORT OF THE PUBLICITY COMMITTEE - WESTERN SECTION

There were two main purposes of this Committee:

1. to initiate and co-ordinate Council publicity, and
2. to work in co-operation with the Congress Publicity Committee with respect to a honey display at the World Congress in Maryland.

Regarding the first part, it was clear to me that to carry out an effective and far-reaching Council publicity program there had to be some form of a media (newspaper, magazine or the like) in order to establish communication from Council to the various levels and branches of our whole industry, and from these levels to Council.

Without such a media it would be next to impossible to get any publicity messages across to some 10,000 Beekeepers, as well as to other branches of the industry.

With that in mind and to get some material to work with from ideas, opinions and suggestions on how to get some type of publication arranged, twenty-four letters were mailed out. The same letter also asked for suggestions with regard to the proposed display for the Congress. Although only six replies were received, these were indeed good letters and from them it appeared that if we were to get somewhere and get there fast, a meeting was desirable.

As John King had informed us that he would be out West and as it certainly would be an advantage to have him at this meeting the date was set for May 15th in Edmonton. I may say that letters of approval and endorsement of calling this meeting and the purpose of it were received from John Corner, the Chairman of the Congress Promotion Committee, as well as from John Chandler. They were, however, unable to attend but arranged to have Lew Truscott there. Those attending were: John King, L. Truscott, D. McCutcheon, E. Bland, J. Edmunds, Mr. Wilson (B.C. Honey Plant), P. Pawlowski, R. Asher and myself.

The meeting agreed that some type of communication media was urgent and endorsed a form similar to the one which is being issued by the Central Alberta Dairy Pool's Publicity Department. They also endorsed plans in general regarding the honey and Council display at the Congress and further, that immediate action was important as time was running very short.

As a result of this meeting with regard to the matter of publication, I contacted Mr. Gordon Manning, Editor of the Bassano Times, and at the first part of June a letter was mailed to the Council Executives outlining detailed information re a publication paper, including cost of printing, also stating that it would take about three weeks to prepare the first issue.

On my travels around visiting Beekeepers and at meetings as well as at the Alberta and Saskatchewan Conventions I talked to a lot of Beekeepers about Council, with regard to the purpose of Council, what it was doing and what it could do if it had more support. Publicity, by person-to-person talk and discussion is one sure way to get Beekeepers convinced that they will benefit by supporting Council.

Recently letters with information of the Council's meeting in Edmonton were mailed out to Packers, Apiculturists and local associations in all four Western Provinces. A prepared article, together with a picture of the Alberta Honey Queen, Miss Michele Michaluk, were mailed out to 18 newspapers for publication.

Otherwise, as far as Council publicity is concerned, it has to be considered a failure, with nothing really important accomplished with respect to the purpose and intent of this Committee.

With regard to the Congress display, I wrote to the Trade Association Management in charge of the exhibition and finally after three weeks' time I received the information. The cost of the booth space we required was \$600.00, so I wrote them again explaining that it was not the Council's intention to have a display for the purpose of selling honey, but merely to display it and to show information about Council and about the whole Canadian Honey Industry. They then replied that a booth for such a type of display would be only \$20.00.

The plan and sketch of the proposed display arrangement was approved by John King and John Corner. The signs were made up and forwarded to Phil Burke. In the meantime John King had obtained a considerable variety of display materials such as flags, Provincial and Canadian crests and had also arranged with several Packers for their honey to be included in the display. Phil Burke had volunteered to be responsible for arranging shipment of the honey and all display material to the exhibition site in Maryland. John Corner was in charge of setting up the actual display and from pictures and reports that I have seen, it was indeed a very attractive and colorful arrangement and certainly had to be considered most successful in every respect.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Rathje

REPORT OF THE MAGAZINE COMMITTEE

We have tried to get a magazine for this industry and we in the past fourteen months have talked to many interested people in this regard.

Many people have explored the possibilities of publishing a Beekeepers Magazine but most of them felt that it would not have too much chance of success.

The Committee feels that any venture like this will need the complete co-operation of the whole Industry to be worthwhile and to fill the needs as we see them.

We have an offer from Mr. Jack Arnot to publish and edit such a magazine. It is your Committee's feelings that we should accept this offer on the basis as outlined in his program. The one exception is the deletion of the word 'official' from the front page.

We hope that all the members will give this magazine its fullest co-operation in supplying material and advertisement so that it may have its best chance to succeed.

Respectfully submitted

Robert E. Asher  
Committee Chairman

## XXIst International Apicultural Congress Report

The XXIst International Apicultural Congress was held at the University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland on August 11 to 17, 1967. Sponsorship of this Congress was by the United States and Canada jointly. Canada had three official representatives on the Organization Committee in Professor Gordon Townsend, Vice-President and Co-Chairman, with Dr. Tom Gochnauer of the Program Committee and Dr. Don Peer, Co-Chairman of the Post Congress Tours Committee.

Thirteen hundred registered from forty-three countries from Algeria to Zambia. In the words of Dr. Eva Crane, this was an "On Campus Congress". It was unquestionably a setting quite unusual for this organization since delegates have been used to being wined and dined at previous Congresses in European centres.

There were two phases to the official program. The first part of the Congress was devoted to the presentation of scientific reports while the latter part dealt with the presentation of reports of a more general nature.

Thanks to the United States State Department, simultaneous translation of the speeches into English, French, German and Spanish was provided.

During the week local tours included Washington, the Agricultural Research Center of the U.S. Department of Agriculture at Beltsville, Maryland and other nearby places in Maryland and Virginia. More extensive Post Congress tours followed with two tours including stops at points in eastern Canada.

While at the Congress I represented Canada officially at the annual meeting of Apimondia on August 17. I also represented Canada at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Honey Industry Council which I was elected to earlier in the year. The C.A.A. met on two occasions during the Congress and reports on these latter two meetings appear in the annual C.A.A. report for last year.

It is unfortunate that it was necessary to hold the Congress in August - a busy time for most North American beekeepers - however, this schedule was made necessary because this happened to be the off season for most of the other delegates and visitors. Nevertheless, Canada was well represented in the numbers that were able to attend and there can be no question that the Congress was fruitful and had much to offer to all. It was a memorable experience and one which will be long remembered.

As John Corner previously reported, Canada had five exhibits: one from the Canadian Beekeepers Council, one from the Province of Alberta, one from the Province of British Columbia, one from Hodgson Bee Supplies and one from the University of Guelph. This compared very favourably with a total of ten exhibits from the United States. The Canadian Beekeepers Council booth was manned periodically by John Corner, Dr. P. Pankiw, John Chandler and Don Robertson. Certainly the Canadian creamed honey displayed in this booth received great interest from the delegates and visitors including those from the United States.

On behalf of the Canadian Beekeepers Council and the entire beekeeping industry I would like to take this opportunity to thank Professor Townsend and Dr. Gochnauer for the splendid job they did on our behalf. I would also like to thank Jean Guilbault and John King for helping me with the translation of some of the scientific papers. Thanks also to John Corner and Fred Rathje for the outstanding job they did on the Canadian Beekeepers Council booth. A bouquet too to the many others who helped in various ways.

Respectfully submitted,

D.F. Peer

RESEARCH REPORT

Following these brief remarks we will have Research Reports from Dr. P. Pankiw, Dr. Cameron Fay, Prof. P.W. Burke and Dr. W. Gochbauer.

At least once each year the Canadian Beekeepers' Council Executive meets with Dr. Anderson, the Director General of the Research Branch Canada Department of Agriculture and Dr. Woodward, Associate Director, to discuss Research in general and any particular requests we have to make. We are quite concerned that we have no men at present to fill 3 open positions, 2 at Ottawa and 1 at Beaverlodge. Much of the direction for C.D.A. operating grants and N.R.C. grants for research in Apiculture comes through this office.

Dr. Anderson is greatly interested in *Megashile rotundata* the Alfalfa Leaf Cutter Bee. This is an excellent example of Research findings being applied on a Practical Basis and being quickly developed to very good advantage. It also points out the close relation of Research people and Practical people in the industry.

In Canada we are fortunate to have Apicultural Research men who are industry-orientated.

There is a shortage of interested university students for summer help at our Research Stations, we should endeavour to acquaint our friends families who have University students of the wide field of interest there is in Apiculture Research.

The Canadian Beekeepers' Council has two Research Funds established. As Dr. Anderson stated "When the industry is willing to put their money where their heart is and support research they can expect more sympathetic consideration from the Research Branch."

University of Manitoba Research Fund solicited by letter sent out by Robert Asher totals \$725.00.

University of Guelph Research Fund solicited by letter sent out by Victor Mesley totals \$800.00.

This is the text of a letter addressed to Mr. John King, Executive Secretary of the Canadian Beekeepers' Council in Ottawa, dated 15th February, 1968.

Dear John

I appreciate very much the copies of the letters which you have forwarded to Clubine and the Kraft Foods.

I pointed out to you previously and so that you may be able to use it for information concerning the monies which we have received through Council, the first \$250 which you forwarded was used to develop the classifier for honey. The

second \$250 has already been partially used and the remainder will likely be spent shortly towards purchasing of some equipment in connection with the development of a better manner of classifying and blending honey. This work I hope will be completed in the near future.

If sufficient monies are made available through your recent attempts I would hope that I can hire a microbiology student during the summer period and put in equipment to determine the necessary procedure which we could use for the analysis of honey samples so that I might determine whether or not they could meet the German and other European requirements. Once this technique has been worked out satisfactorily here then one of our technicians could carry it on whenever needed.

The reason I am directing the monies which you obtained for us towards work on honey is mainly because we have no grant for work in that area. All of our program is on a contract basis for certain areas of research and I have felt that work on honey is both needed and is of more value on a Canada wide basis. We have no intention of duplicating anything in the way of the type of work which is presently being done by Sidiki in Ottawa. The problems we are approaching are more of an immediate practical type.

As I will not be at the Council meetings this year owing to a shortage of travel funds, I would hope that you might convey this information to your membership so that they may realize how the money is being spent.

Yours truly,

G.F. Townsend

Professor and Chairman of Department

One important aspect of these funds is that they are flexible and the funds may be used in whatever manner is deemed to be most suitable.

Respectfully submitted,

Victor Mesley

REPORT OF THE STATISTICS COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN: J. E. King  
 COMMITTEE: M. Gray, P. Stevens  
 TERMS OF REFERENCE 1961 Minutes

BEEKEEPING STATISTICS FOR CANADA

Year	Bee-keepers No.	Colonies No.	Production Per Colony (lbs.)	Total Production (000 lbs.)
1957	15,040	325,700	98	32,051
1958	13,150	332,700	93	27,509
1959	14,180	330,700	95	31,527
1960	12,570	327,340	98	32,224
1961	11,660	336,910	104	35,058
1962	10,370	340,470	90	30,713
1963	10,660	360,060	117	42,142
1964	10,760	382,240	96	36,662
1965	10,350	413,030	119	49,157
1966	10,000	429,860	104	44,502
1967	9,660	445,070	99	43,974

10 Year Averages

1947-56	21,150	418,900	74	30,974
1948-57	18,740	392,500	78	30,470
1949-58	16,850	368,900	76	28,707
1950-59	15,660	354,320	81	28,542
1951-60	14,740	344,260	85	29,125
1952-61	14,000	337,320	85	28,514
1953-62	13,440	332,810	86	28,460
1954-63	13,110	334,690	90	30,038
1955-64	12,690	338,970	94	31,719
1956-65	12,315	347,915	97	33,680

BEEKEEPING STATISTICS BY PROVINCEBritish Columbia

Year	Bee-keepers No.	Colonies No.	Average Yield	Total Production
1957	2,770	17,000	83	1,411
1958	1,700	17,900	121	2,166
1959	2,940	18,700	70	1,309
1960	1,900	19,800	98	1,940
1961	2,000	19,980	105	2,100
1962	1,500	20,570	61	1,255
1963	2,000	24,300	67	1,630
1964	1,890	28,900	58	1,670
1965	1,800	31,500	135	4,240
1966	1,940	36,600	68	2,470
1967	1,600	37,140	72	2,660



Alberta

Year	Bee keepers No.	Colonies No.	Average Yield	Total Production
1957	1,800	48,200	134	6,459
1958	1,490	45,900	165	7,574
1959	1,540	54,200	94	5,095
1960	1,670	54,900	138	7,576
1961	1,390	60,000	160	9,600
1962	1,260	65,400	105	6,867
1963	1,100	71,600	162	11,600
1964	1,450	83,850	102	8,590
1965	1,320	114,000	160	20,050
1966	1,150	114,000	116	13,186
★1967	1,400	120,000	139	16,730

Saskatchewan

1957	2,650	28,500	134	3,819
1958	2,470	29,300	138	4,043
1959	2,500	31,200	123	3,838
1960	2,400	33,200	136	4,515
1961	2,000	36,800	108	3,970
1962	1,800	37,200	77	2,864
1963	1,650	36,700	166	6,100
1964	1,550	39,000	141	5,500
1965	1,400	41,000	154	6,300
1966	1,250	42,000	145	6,100
★1967	1,110	46,680	140	6,535

Manitoba

1957	1,200	42,000	124	5,208
1958	1,030	44,300	120	5,316
1959	1,080	44,400	133	5,905
1960	920	41,700	153	6,380
1961	790	40,560	164	6,652
1962	730	38,560	120	4,630
1963	700	42,750	170	7,285
1964	810	46,000	127	5,822
1965	820	47,000	126	5,930
1966	860	53,000	168	8,910
★1967	850	55,000	156	8,590

Ontario

1957	3,140	135,500	82	11,111
1958	3,000	135,200	42	5,678
1959	2,960	125,000	89	11,125
1960	2,720	123,100	75	9,232
1961	2,700	126,000	74	9,324
1962	2,500	126,000	93	11,718
1963	2,630	130,300	84	11,000
1964	2,560	130,000	85	11,000
1965	2,580	129,700	76	9,800
1966	2,550	134,900	76	10,216
★1967	2,440	133,170	45	6,050

Year	Bee-keepers No.	Colonies No.	Average Yield	Total Production
1957	2,720	49,700	75	3,728
1958	2,680	54,700	44	2,407
1959	2,420	51,900	76	3,952
1960	2,220	49,840	46	2,284
1961	2,130	48,700	61	2,971
1962	1,990	48,300	65	3,140
1963	2,010	49,700	83	4,125
1964	1,800	48,900	53	2,592
1965	1,880	44,300	54	2,392
1966	1,720	43,550	75	3,266
*1967	1,660	47,120	65	3,063

New Brunswick

1957	260	1,500	60	90
1958	270	1,600	61	98
1959	220	1,400	44	62
1960	190	1,200	72	86
1961	170	1,280	70	90
1962	170	1,100	56	62
1963	210	1,410	89	125
1964	270	1,540	63	97
1965	200	1,300	66	86
1966	180	1,600	64	102
*1967	260	1,740	63	110

Nova Scotia

1957	400	2,500	68	170
1958	410	2,800	58	162
1959	420	2,900	62	180
1960	380	2,800	52	146
1961	390	2,690	93	250
1962	340	2,800	53	148
1963	300	2,700	79	213
1964	280	3,400	58	197
1965	280	3,500	87	303
1966	290	3,500	59	208
*1967	290	3,500	60	210

Prince Edward Island

1957	100	800	69	55
1958	100	1,000	65	65
1959	100	1,000	61	61
1960	90	800	81	65
1961	90	900	72	65
1962	80	540	54	29
1963	60	600	107	64
1964	70	650	83	54
1965	60	730	77	56
1966	60	710	70	50
*1967	50	720	36	26

\* Estimated

BEESWAX

Year	Production (000 lbs.)	Average Farm Price \$ per lb.	Total Farm Value (\$000)
1958	408	.46	187
1959	459	.48	222
1960	479	.45	214
1961	520	.44	231
1962	462	.44	228
1963	63.	.45	285
1964	573	.45	258
1965	733	.46	340

BEESWAX IMPORTS

	Quantity lbs.	Value \$
1958	246,176	148,376
1959	322,352	172,311
1960	290,005	160,337
1961	246,500	146,149
1962	281,200	172,323
1963	285,100	176,812
1964	231,486	148,135
1965	269,544	161,934
1966	219,516	131,000

IMPORTATION OF PACKAGE BEES

	No. of Packages	Value \$
1958	139,728	525,017
1959	142,832	541,623
1960	145,980	553,177
1961	145,601	591,444
1962	152,666	661,719
1963	163,423	713,873
1964	186,034	935,001
1965	208,599	1,039,448
1966	230,362	1,165,000

STOCKS ON HAND

Held by Packers &amp; Wholesalers

(Million lbs.)

	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>	<u>1963</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>
March 31	11.0	10.4	9.0	12.9	12.8	16.2	16.7
June 30	9.7	8.9	6.4	7.1	8.1	11.4	13.1
Sept. 30	17.3	12.3	17.2	14.4	16.1	24.4	22.9
Dec. 31	15.6	12.0	16.9	17.2	18.4	23.5	27.0

REPORT OF THE FAIRS AND EXHIBITS COMMITTEE

The major fairs in the West were well supported. Due to a poor crop in Ontario, however, the entries in the C.N.E. were down slightly.

For the past several years a poster contest at the P.N.E. has been supported by Council, this competition due to several problems will be discontinued.

- PNE - Council Silver Shield - liquid honey - A.C. Jaenen, Fairlight, Sask.  
 Council Silver Cup - creamed honey - A.C. Jaenen, Fairlight, Sask.  
 CNE - Council Trophy - liquid honey - L. Thurston, Dunsford, Ontario.  
 Council Trophy - creamed honey - J. Valas, Lindsay, Ontario.

REPORT AS PER TERMS OF REFERENCE

NATIONAL POINT SYSTEM

A point system was submitted for approval prior to Congress, this Committee however recommended that a competition not be held at that time.

The point system presently being used at major fairs is certainly sufficiently satisfactory to continue for one more year, and the system as submitted could, and I feel should, be studied for a further year.

TO ENCOURAGE AND PUBLICIZE 4H HONEY CLUBS

This could best be done by local associations at the present time.

I was requested at a very busy time last year to attend a meeting at Edmonton where many matters pertaining to this Committee were discussed. It is rather disappointing to note that the only obvious action taken on decisions made at this meeting were due to the actions of Mr. J. Corner and Mr. F. Rathje on the display at Congress.

The poster in four languages which was to establish the theme of "All the World Likes Canadian Honey" did not in fact appear at Congress, even though at least three committees were concerned with same.

The news sheet to publicize Council actions or activity was to be published immediately and this would have served to carry out the last two terms of reference, namely to encourage display of honey and to publicize results and encourage participation.

It could well be evident from duplication of terms of reference re the poster and inclusion of such as the above that the executive could give more thought to the terms of reference and must be prepared to support the committees in their endeavour to carry out their functions. A committee of one might be considered and may prove more effective in the future.

Respectfully submitted,

L. Truscott  
 Chairman

REPORT OF COMMITTEE FOR EVALUATION OF EQUIPMENT FOR  
PRESENTATION TO FARM CREDIT CORPORATION

This Committee was established in January of this year. It consists of myself as Chairman, D. Robertson, P. Burke, D. Culham and I have asked J. Edmunds to assist also.

We have done little so far since we are still at sea, as to the purpose of the Committee. Any of us can of course place an evaluation on equipment. The problem seems to be however to convince the F.C.C. that bee equipment is a fixed asset and therefore of value in securing long term loans. The problem appears to be one of fixing the asset. Perhaps this could be accomplished by branding or somehow using an identity mark. The equipment could be subjected to inventory scrutiny by F.C.C. officers yearly.

F.C.C. officers relate that they make decisions on granting loans on the following basis:-

1. Present market value of fixed assets (land).
2. Utility value of buildings.
3. Productive value of asset(s).
4. Future demand for product.
5. Experience and managerial ability of operator.

One can come up with an evaluation of bee equipment by using catalogue prices for example:

Cost of a hive body of combs -

Hive body	\$1.85
Frames	1.50
Wax	1.50
Labour	1.50
Wire	25
Drawing foundation	<u>1.00</u>
Total	7.70

Cost of a brood chamber -

Hive body	7.70
Bottom	1.80
Cover	<u>2.35</u>
Total	11.85

So one could place the evaluation at any point from \$7.70 to 50% or \$3.85 per super. And from \$11.85 to \$5.90 per brood chamber. For a two storey united hive - \$11.85 + \$7.70 + \$2.00 for bees = \$26.55 to \$16.75 (half price in equipment - full price in bees). Other equipment could be evaluated accordingly.

The real problem is to convince the F.C.C.

1. that long term credit is a necessity.
2. that bee equipment can be considered a fixed asset.
3. to assist them in establishing evaluation procedures for equipment.
4. to get the industry's marketing house in order so that we can say there is a good future demand for the product.

Respectfully submitted,

D. McCutcheon  
Chairman.

REPORT OF THE CANADIAN BEEKEEPERS' COUNCIL DELEGATE  
TO THE CANADIAN HORTICULTURAL COUNCIL (1968)

Unity of organizations in Canadian agriculture has been an often-recurring subject in the last few years.

Quoting from President Krocker's Report to Canadian Horticultural Council 1968:

"The high degree of cooperation that exists between producer interests and trade organizations is one of the features that sets apart the Canadian Horticultural Council as distinctive, and in some ways unique.

In Canada, the development of a National farm policy has been a most complex problem, complicated by the present divisions, uncertainty and confliction in agriculture itself. In an article in the Family Herald David Suderman states that there were in Canada in 1965, 420 separate farm organizations each concerned with a particular facet of agriculture. The author observes that the voice of the Canadian farmer is in danger of being hopelessly dissipated through a confusion of organizations that have been set up to represent him. The contrast between horticulture and other agricultural interests is vivid indeed. When we consider that in addition to the representation in the Canadian Horticultural Council of virtually every producer organization in Canada that is concerned with fruit and vegetables we also include the full spectrum of direct and indirect associates, from Pollinization to Processing to Marketing, we remind ourselves of the recommendation in 1922 by Hon. W.R. Motherwell, then Minister of Agriculture, "That a Horticultural Council be formed comprising all segments of the Industry to which would be referred the present and future problems of the industry."

The formidable task of bringing such a body into being was entrusted to a young man named Leslie F. Burrows. The organization built and developed by this remarkably talented man has indeed, and in every sense become the respected, influential and only united national voice of the Horticultural Industry in Canada. For the past 45 years Les Burrows and the Canadian Horticultural Council have been synonymous.

Subsequently, Mr. Burrows submitted his resignation, effective December 1st 1967 with the recommendation that John E. King be appointed as his successor. You will all be pleased to know, I am sure, that Les Burrows has been prevailed upon to be available to the Canadian Horticultural Council on a retainer basis, in a consultative advisory capacity.

May I state very briefly only that the 45 years of Les Burrows service to Council have left us a priceless legacy in the unity of ultimate purpose, the mutual respect and goodwill among all segments of the industry and the principle of open forum discussion leading to a fair and just consensus in which the overall national interest is the final criterion, that has been achieved under his guidance and leadership. May we continue to cherish these ideals and preserve this legacy.

Mr. John King has been appointed Secretary-Treasurer of the Council as of January 1st 1968. John has been a member of our staff for a number of years, and

has demonstrated a real aptitude for his position and has willingly accepted and capably discharged the increasingly heavy responsibilities placed upon him. On behalf of the Canadian Horticultural Council I want to assure John of our full support and cooperation as we look forward to a pleasurable and rewarding association."

There are many matters of interest to our industry brought up and improvements obtained for instance, exemption of T4 forms for Casual Labour up to \$250., Canadian Pension Plan, Unemployment Insurance, Immigration of Agricultural workers.

There are contentious resolutions such as Value for Duty and Remission of Duty to Processors, where the pros and cons are thoroughly discussed and worked on.

After the Report of Uniformity of Legislation Committee the following resolution sponsored by the Canadian Beekeepers Council was approved:

WHEREAS under the Fruit, Vegetables & Honey Act the name of the country of origin preceded by the words "Product of ...." was required on the consumer container of honey, and

WHEREAS low priced imported honey is being marketed in Canada in a manner which gives the consumer the impression that it is Canadian honey and

WHEREAS these imported honeys have depressed the domestic market and thus lowered the returns to the beekeepers equivalent to that experienced 15 years ago, which at that time were depressed returns, and

WHEREAS an adverse reflection on Canadian honey entering the United Kingdom market has resulted from this practice,

RESOLVED that the Canadian Horticultural Council request that the Canada Department of Agriculture amend the CAPS Act to require the country of origin to be marked on consumer packages.

The Canadian Horticultural Council has considerable experience in Food Promotion as demonstrated in that Committee's Report.

L.R. Stephens and John King prepared and presented a Brief re International Code on Anti-Dumping.

A new Tariff Item, 42700-1 re processing machinery may be helpful in honey processing also.

The Government have requested full information regarding Non-Tariff Barriers to Trade which Canada finds it has to deal with in International Trade.

In his address to Horticultural Council, Mr. C. Philips speaking on behalf of the Deputy Minister of Agriculture made it clear that Nomenclature of grading regulations should be seriously looked at. Fancy, Extra Fancy, Choice,



Red Brand, No. 1 and No. 2, this type of labeling is confusing to the housewife so he stated.

The Apple Committee of Council reported on their 1967 Mission to the U.K. and during Committee Meeting showed photographs of shipments of apples arriving by boat at the docks in Liverpool and Manchester. There is a great variation in the care given in properly stowing cargoes in the hold and in the handling in and out of the ship.

From their experience it would appear that containerized shipments for packaged goods is much preferable.

Manchester Lines and the Port of Manchester seem to be giving the best service with their new facilities and good management. Port of Liverpool is more likely to have labour problems and should be avoided where possible. Port of Glasgow has containerized facilities and gives good service.

The Apple Mission concluded that devaluation would not lessen the market volume for Canadian apples. It has affected the price however.

There has been a slowup in orders by the U.K. trade, but it is felt that this slowup is only until they see how things settle out.

Respectfully submitted,

Victor Mesley  
Official Delegate

REPORT OF THE CANADIAN HONEY EXPORTERS' COMMITTEE

Just to give you a brief history of the Exporters' Committee, after discussion with the Department of Trade and Commerce the Canadian Beekeepers' Council called a meeting of the major Exporters in August 1966.

Up until early in 1966 Canadian honey had enjoyed an excellent acceptance on the British market but was now running into a number of problems. It was also felt at that time that possibly there were other markets which possibly could be developed.

Invitations were sent out to the major Canadian Honey Exporters at that time to attend the first meeting. There was a good attendance representing most Honey Exporters together with Trade and Commerce, Department of Agriculture and three members of the Executive.

The Department of Trade and Commerce suggested that they might be willing to assist the honey industry on the British market with some type of promotion. As a result of this meeting it was decided to establish an Export Committee and at the Annual Meeting of Council in December 1966 the Committee again met, at which time I was asked to be chairman and Mr. King secretary of this Committee. At this second meeting a promotion program was suggested by the Department of Trade and Commerce. This was based on the developing of a theme and a common symbol which could be used by Canadian Honey Exporters.

As a result of these discussions it was decided that the Exporter pay a levy to the Canadian Beekeepers' Council, such money to be used on United Kingdom promotion. This levy was to be  $\frac{1}{2}$  per pound based on the 1965 exports. This was agreed by all present.

As a result of these discussions it was the decision of the Department of Trade and Commerce to send a Honey Mission to Britain and Ireland. The members of this Mission were J. King, R. McKay of the Department of Trade and Commerce and myself. The members were charged to investigate means of enlarging Canada's share of the British market through a joint industry-government market development program.

The Mission members visited London, Dublin, Liverpool and Glasgow, meeting with leading honey buyers and importers of Canadian honey and Canadian Government Trade officials dealing in detailed discussions on how a trade promotion campaign could best be carried out.

The retail food trade in England is undergoing a change from the small store to the large chain store supermarket, very similar to what we have in our Canadian cities. These large stores have now over 50% of the English market and are continuing to expand. In these stores prices are very competitive. On the other hand the small store still continues to handle a substantial share of the business and here returns are much higher to the producer.

The Mission found that there was very close liaison between the British packing industry and firms importing bulk honey. Individual effort by Canadian shippers to increase Canadian packed honey has met strong and organized resistance. Therefore greater co-operation amongst Canadian exporters appears essential if we wish to continue marketing successfully in the English market.

Scotland In the Scottish market Canadian honey has received excellent acceptance. While in not as large a volume, the Scottish people appear to have a great loyalty to Canada and therefore anything Canadian is well received on their market. The mission also found that the large chain stores have not been as successful in Scotland and the small stores have the largest share of sales. In every place visited it was quite clear that small containers were most popular and the one-pound pack seemed the most common.

The Mission also found that one of the reasons Canadian honey had such success in the British market was the introduction of the plastic containers, but now you will find many English packs of imported honey in plastic containers so that this advantage, so far as Canadian honey is concerned, no longer exists.

Ireland In Ireland the tariff on honey is quite high and consumer incomes are relatively low so that Canadian pre-packed honey is at a distinct disadvantage. In spite of this, Canadian honey can be found on the shelves of the modern stores. Canadian honey is also being imported in bulk and packed, and holds a fair share of the Irish market.

The Mission also discussed what type of promotion program for Canadian honey would best serve the honey industry. All levels of trade were impressed with in-store promotion and preferred this to trade fairs which they also felt were good, but did not reach the consumer so far as repeat sales are concerned.

The main recommendations of the Mission are:

1. that a long-term Canadian honey promotion program be implemented in Britain and Ireland;
2. that public relations material be prepared including promotional literature and devices for use in retail presentations directed particularly to consumers who have not tried Canadian honey;
3. that this material be prepared as part of a co-ordinated joint industry-government program and emphasize the distinct qualities of Canadian honey;
4. that the program be built around a symbol or emblem and/or a slogan on all materials and brand packages;
5. that the Canadian honey export trade place emphasis on in-store promotion and in addition, take a major part in the larger in-store promotions featuring a number of Canadian export products;
6. that the Export Committee, Canadian Beekeepers' Council, consider holding a meeting of all Canadian exporters to study the desirability of uniform export price and promotion policies.

Following the return from Britain the Honey Mission Report was prepared and turned over to the Department of Trade and Commerce. This was their property and they were the only people who could release it. I regret that there was a

great deal of concern in Canada by Beekeepers' organizations that this report was not published sooner, but I will say that the Department of Trade and Commerce were spending a great deal of time working on the recommendations made by the Mission as they were quite anxious to see a promotion program started.

In November of last year another exporters meeting was called when the Mission report was reviewed by the Export Committee. The question was again discussed with regard to the blending of imported honey and shipping it into the British market and calling it Canadian Honey. The packers in Britain suggested to the Canadian Government that they felt this was being done. However, all the packers said they were not packing anything but Canadian honey for the British market.

After a long discussion on the Mission Report, and in particular the promotion program, it was decided that those wishing to participate in a promotion program would proceed to work with Trade and Commerce and financially support a promotion program in Britain.

Respectfully submitted,

E.J. Burnett  
Committee Chairman

REPORT OF TARIFF COMMITTEE

The Tariff Committee is a standing committee which has been set up by Council to handle the various items being imported by the industry. This Committee supplies the various tariff items under which this material can be entered and the duty rates if applicable.

This year your Committee has been relatively inactive due to the fact that only a few requests were made either to the Committee Chairman or to the national office. All enquiries were handled in the usual manner.

The clearance of bee cages for the first time in the history of the industry was questioned at one of the border points of entry. Cages are eligible for duty but the majority of beekeepers have been bringing these into the country without any difficulty. If for any chance a beekeeper should encounter trouble at the border he should produce documentation outlining the number of cages exported to the U.S. and then would only be subject to duty on the new cages being imported. In other words, if a beekeeper exports 900 cages when going south for his packages and returns with 1,000 cages he is only subject to duty on 100 cages.

The Committee strongly recommends that any beekeeper or anyone in the industry importing equipment should contact either the Committee or the national office in order to obtain the proper customs classification in order to facilitate movement of material through the various customs ports.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Smith  
Chairman.

THE  
CANADIAN HORTICULTURAL COUNCIL

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE  
ON  
HORTICULTURAL RESEARCH 1968

NO MATERIAL IN THIS REPORT MAY BE CITED  
WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE AUTHOR

ENTOMOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, APICULTURE SECTION,

T.A. Gochnauer, Chief

Pheromones - R. Boch and D.A. Shearer

Work continues on the attractant and alarm odours which affect foraging and defensive behaviour. Various concentrations and combinations of synthetic substances were tested and compared with natural scents extracted from the scent glands of bees.

The alarm substances of bees from North America, Europe and the Far East were investigated. The stings of worker bees in four species of Apis, all contained isopentyl acetate. Between species there were quantitative differences in isopentyl acetate content.

2-Heptanone, an alarm substance secreted from the mandibular glands of worker bees, is found only in Apis mellifera. We found it present in the native Italian bees from Bologna, Italy, in Apis mellifera bees, introduced into the Philippines and Thailand, and as reported earlier, in worker bees examined in North America. 2-Heptanone was not found in the native bees of the Far East, Apis dorsata, A. florea or A. indica.

Gel Filtration of Extracts from American Foulbrood - T.A. Gochnauer

Water extracts from American foulbrood scales were separated on G-200 dextran gel into a large molecular size protease, a smaller molecular size protease, and into the colored, fluorescent, odorous compounds of protein breakdown in the affected larvae. The small size protease diffused to some degree through cellophane dialysis tubing.

Gamma Radiation Tests with European Foulbrood - T.A. Gochnauer, E.R.I., P. Pankiw, Beaverlodge Research Station, and H. Hamilton, A.B.C.L.

Combs containing larvae killed by infection of European foulbrood disease were exposed to varying levels of gamma radiation in the ADCL facility at Tunney's Pasture. They were then shipped to Beaverlodge and placed in healthy colonies which contained only foundation in addition to the test comb. The numbers of diseased larvae appearing after inoculation in this way decreased sharply with increasing levels of radiation previously applied. Further trials over a wider range of radiation exposures are being arranged.

Drug Levels in Syrup Fed to Wintering Honey Bee Colonies - J. Corner, B.C. Dept. of Agric. and T.A. Gochnauer, E.R.I.

Colonies were given treated syrup in the fall, and samples of the syrup stored by the bees were examined after the initial feeding and at monthly intervals thereafter. Commercial formulations of oxytetracycline and tetracycline were used for preparing the treated syrup. The syrups were diluted for antibiotic disc assay, and Girardeau's Erwinia strain was used as an assay organism. Loss of activity was rapid in all syrups, but the oxytetracycline activity declined at a slower rate than the tetracycline. Further studies on the degrees of stability under known wintering conditions are continuing.

Antinosema Agents - T.A. Gochnauer, E.R.I.

Tests of possible antinosema agents continue, using a modified Furgala and Maunder technique. Previous studies have indicated that Hexamethylenetetramine (Urotropin, Uroformine and other common names) was inactive against nosema in inoculated, caged bees up to a level of 200 mg/liter of syrup fed. The 'Tween' series of sorbitan fatty acid compounds occasionally produced elevated levels of nosema in such bees.

RESEARCH STATION, BEAVERLODGE, ALBERTA, APICULTURE SECTION

Comparison of Build-up of Early and Late Package Bee Colonies - P. Pankiw

The rate of build-up of two-pound package of bee colonies, as determined by egg-laying of queens in the first, second and third brood cycle and adult bee weights at 9.5 weeks after hiving, increased as the hiving of the bees were delayed. Thus colonies hived in the first half of April produced half and two-thirds as much brood in the first and second brood cycle respectively as those hived in late April and early May. As a result weight of adult bees at 9.5 weeks after hiving was 3.0 and 4.9 kg for the early and later-hived package bee colonies.

Irradiation of European Foulbrood Diseased Combs - P. Pankiw and T.A. Gochmauer

Irradiating combs infected with European foulbrood disease with 50,000, 100,000 and 200,000 rads did not control the disease although the higher the dosage of irradiation the lower was the incidence of the disease.

Wintering of Package Bee Colonies in Single Brood Chambers in Southern B.C. -

P. Pankiw

An equivalent of 2 lbx of bees and a queen were installed in late August and taken in late September to the Fraser Valley in single brood chambers. All 12 colonies overwintered and had surplus bees of 5 additional 2-lb packages. Thus valuable queens could be wintered in this manner.

DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA

Rearing and Casts-Determination Studies of Queen Honey Bees - S.C. Jay

Several external and internal measurements were made of pupae and adult bees produced from young larvae starved six hours prior to being placed in queen cells. Several tests showed significant differences between the control and starvation treatments. A series of experiments were also done to study the effect of placing young larvae in one, two, and three day old queen cells. No significant differences were found other than that young larvae placed in three day old queen cells died in greater numbers than in the control. The "double grafting" of young larvae for rearing queens appeared to provide no advantages over single grafting methods.

Ovariole Development in Worker Bees - S.C. Jay

Studies described in last year's report were repeated and broadened. The results obtained in 1965 and 1966 were verified in a series of experiments. In addition, if worker bees were one week old when their colony was dequeened they developed their ovaries as quickly as those that were just newly emerged when the colony was dequeened - i.e. about two weeks for each age group. At this time, about one-half of the bees had developed ovaries (i.e. in colonies with no queen, virgin queen, larval grafts, rearing own queen, queen larvae, or queen pupae). Even queen-right colonies appeared to have a few worked bees with developed ovaries. The reasons for the above phenomena are being investigated.

Further Studies of the Effect of Apiary Layout on Drifting - S.C. Jay

Drifting was reduced by arranging hives in two squares of four with entrances facing inwards in one group and outwards in the other; and by arranging a group of 16 hives in a Graeco-latin square which involved different colored boards above hive entrances and entrances facing different directions. However, when hives were



arranged in rows perpendicular to windbreaks, considerable drifting occurred between rows and especially forward along the rows. When hives were arranged in large squares the bees drifted toward the end colonies of each side. When rows of hives were faced N, E, S and W there was an unexplained tendency for the bees to drift southward in rows which faced east or west, and westward in rows which faced north and south.

#### Hiving Studies - S.C. Jay

Studies begun in 1965 and 1966 were continued, verified, and extended. A summary of the data to date would include the following: package bees are lost from hives in high numbers during the first 24 hours after hiving and at a lower rate during subsequent days. Drifting is low during the first 24 hours after hiving but increases with time. Treatment of the bees with CO<sub>2</sub> does not affect this loss, nor does the amount of food in their honey stomachs. The loss of bees after hiving does not appear to be influenced greatly by the ages of the bees in the packages - particularly within the first 24 hours. The loss of package bees is not greatly reduced by using landmarks, coloured markers, offset entrances, or various spacings between hives but the drifting is. Losses of bees are high when hived on snow or in high winds. Studies of hiving bees under various temperature conditions is being investigated.

#### Population Studies of Honey Bees - S.C. Jay

A long term study has been initiated to determine what factors influence the population build-up of colonies of bees which are initiated each spring in the Prairie Provinces with packages of bees from the U.S.A. At present an annual survey of colonies has been undertaken in southern Manitoba to determine what brood and adult populations are present in colonies at 19 days after hiving, at the beginning of the honey flow, and at the conclusion of the honey flow. In addition, with the co-operation of 15 commercial beekeepers, the effect on honey production of hiving 2 lb. and 3 lb. packages on three different dates in the spring has been undertaken. It is hoped that a direct, simple method will be developed from the above study for use in other areas in western Canada for ascertaining what size of package-time of hiving combination would be best for a given beekeeping area.